

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/779,397	02/13/2004	Naoki Shindo	199372003910	2798	
25224 7590 05/11/2010 MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP			EXAMINER		
555 WEST FIFTH STREET			MARKOFF, ALEXANDER		
SUITE 3500 LOS ANGELI	S. CA 90013-1024	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		1711		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/11/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal E	3rie:					

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/779,397	SHINDO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Alexander Markoff	1711	

	Alexander Markoff	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appl for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(n).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	ension and the corresponding amount of chortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 \(\)\) The proposed amendment(s) field after a final rejection, (a) \(\)\) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) \(\)\) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloto) (c) \(\)\) They are not deemed to place the application in bet application. 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			
7. \(\times \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:		•	
of the reasons of the record. The rejection over Kamilkas amendment, which would not be entered. The rejection perfected the claim for foreign priority wsubmitting the that the present application claims a foreign priority date not be properly understood because the applicants them application was filed in Japan on 1/17/2001, while Toshi	over Toshima et al is maintained be translation of the priority documents earlier than the earliest effective de iselves admitted that the parent for	ecause the applicants s. It is noted that the a ate of Toshima. This a eign application of the	argue that they pplicants stated argument could instant

of the foreign priority of the instant application. A mere filing of the certified translation of the priority documents could not

overcome the rejection over the document which was filed prior to the foreign priority date. .

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: ____.

/Alexander Markoff/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1711

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100505

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicants proposed to amend the independent claims by adding the limitations of claim 32, which they proposed to cancel. The proposed amendment raises new issues at least with repect to dependent claims.